FORM TO BE USED BY A PRISONER IN FILING A CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

(1) Longie Sfellman DB-0910 (Name of Plaintiff) (Inmate Number) 1/1/ Altamort Blvd (Address) (2) (Name of Plaintiff) (Inmate Number)	(Case Number)
(Each named party must be numbered, and all names must be printed or typed)	: : :
vs. (1) Jeffrey Beard, et al., (2)	SCRANTON DEC 0 8 2010
	DEPUTY CLERK U.S.C. § 1983 - STATE OFFICIALS
I. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS	J.S.C. § 1331 - FEDERAL OFFICIALS
number including year, as well as the nai	eral court while a prisoner, please list the caption and case me of the judicial officer to whom it was assigned: F. F. V. Se fiftey beard No. CV-06-2149 1/06-5vdge muR

In order to proceed in federal court, you must fully exhaust any available administrative remedies as to

II. EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES

each	ground on which you request action.
A.	Is there a prisoner grievance procedure available at your present institution? YesNo
B.	Have you fully exhausted your available administrative remedies regarding each of your present claims?No
C.	If your answer to "B" is Yes:
	1. What steps did you take? I requested to either seceive
	1. What steps did you take? I requested to e. Her seceive whom smoking cellmate or a (2-cole) single cell.
	2. What was the result? The grevance was device
	2. What was the result? The grevance was deviced 45 frivilous, all the way to final reviews
D.	If your answer to "B" is No, explain why not:
III. DEFI	ENDANTS
(1) N	ame of first defendant: Defity collins
Eı	imployed as SCT- flack will at Administration to the
M	nployed as SCI- frack ville at Administrative direction ailing address: 1111 Altamout Blud. FRACK wille Po. 12431
(2) N	ame of second defendant: 5 uper. w tend and
M	ailing address: sund as about
(3) N	ame of third defendant: Major Joraly apployed as NA at SCE - Fracture appling address: San Control of the Scenario of the Sc
Er M:	ailing address: Sant 63 6600
172	(List any additional defendants, their employment, and addresses on extra sheets if necessary)
IV. STATE	MENT OF CLAIM
(Stata ha	
dates and 1	re as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant is involved, including places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. Attach no more than three
extra sheets i	f necessary.)
1.	Ulow my arrival to SCI-braterfood and
	SCI-Frackville, I expressed my need for a won-sm
	scI-Frackville, I expressed my need for a non-smille limite. Since, I do not smoke, I filed a
	,

grievance once the facility celled me w/a

- 2. Smoker. The grievance was denich for several

 scasons outside of my control: (1) I was

 transferred from gruter ford to Frackulle and

 (2) I had to wait for a response. Thus, my first
- 3. Arievance was denich for being untimely, UPon acriving to SCI- frackville, on or about march 22, 2010, stated to the reception Committee that I am not a problem providing I was not celled up a smoker. Or wise, I would grieve my complaint.

V. RELIEF

(State briefly exactly what you want the court to do for you. Make no legal arguments. Cite no cases or statutes.)

1. - Plaintiff seeks the following relief: A prelimnary and/or permanent injunction against the defendants to stop violating my constituitional rights from an enviroment that is damaging to my health: to wit, celling with a smoker, in cells with little to no ventilation, any form of retaliation with out merit. (2) An order mandating the defendants to establish a smoke free housing unit, where smoking is not tolerated in the pres ence of non-smokers: (3) an order mandating the defendants from using the grievance process as a deterance, which i experienced being moved to the restriced housing unit that imposed majo r^2 . storage problems, poor ventilation. (4) punitive damages to be determined by the courts to compensate for the pain, suffer ing and health hazardshe experienced stated in the complaint and Health hazards that cannot be presently forseen by plaintiff. (5 Lastly, plaintiff ask for the appointment of couns

the plant.	laintifi END OF	t this of and the STATEME	complaint ne need t	, along o peruse	with the	e mental material	health c	the f cov-
3.						-		
								
								·

continue names of defendants:

- (4) Mr. Evans unit manager Employed as the unit manager of B-Unit at SCI_ Frackville mailing address: 1111 altamont blvd. Frackville pa. 17931
- (5) Superintendent wenerowicz Employed as: Superintendent of Frackville
- (6) DsFM Derfler
 Employed as: Unkown
- (7) DSCS Kovalchik Employed as: Unknown
- (8) Mr. P. Damiter
 Employed as: Grievance coordinator
- (9) Lt. J.A. Lukashewski
 Employed as: Asst. coordinator
- (10)C/O 1 Throway
 Employed as : B-Block officer
- (11) C/O 1Johnson

Employed as: B-Block officer

- (12) C/O1 Stutzman
 Employed as : B-Block Officer
- (13) Miss MIranda
 Employed as : A-Block unit manager
- (!4) The entire medical staff Employed as: The medical department
- (15) Lt. Mariarchi Employed as: Asst. coordinator
- (16) Et al., any and all defendants not mention but involved.

Continue statement of claim:

The response given by the reception committee was this is a

non-smking facility, except in the recreation yard area. being housed with a smoker, who smoke in the cell/ I complained to the following staff members. Officer Johnson on march 22, 2010 To no avail: To sgt. Long, unit manager Evans again to no avail. I wrote to Grievance coordinator; Mr. P. Damiter, and counselor Mr. Hoffman again to no avail. I suddenly was moved to the cell next door and instructed to find a non-smoking cellmate by (Mr. Evans). During my search i uncovered that 73 of the 81 inmates on the unit were smokers. Before, I could locate a non-smoker A smoker was moved in (inmate Taylor) with me. i/m Taylor was moved from a smokers cell (i/m Brown). I question the logic of moving a smoker away from another smoker into the cell with me, when i was instructed to locate a non-smoker. Officer Thro way replied to my query statin; "he didno know why? he was just following orders." I filed a grievance which was denied by the grievance coordinator, the Superintendent, and camphill (finalreview). Though the grievance was denied, I assumed my problem was solved by being moved to the restricted housing unit with a non-smoker. However the cell was re-design for one person, who was a behavior problem resulting from a misconduct report and cell sanction. The cell has no hooks to hang clothing, the air vents are covered, there are no cabinets to hold personal property like other housing unit cells, MOst important, no i/m handbook is issued on the unit appraising an inmate to the housing unit rules. Subsequently the non-smoker was transfered, and i was given another smoker as a cellmate. I filed the instant grievance that is the subject matter of the lawsuit also.

This grievance was file on July 01, 2010. It was denied by the grievance coordinators asst. on or about July 21, 2010. The Superintendent denied the appeal on or aboutAugust 17, 2010. And Final review to camphill was denied on September 15 2010. I have reason to believe my transfer to the restricted housing unit was retaliation by the facility staff in response to the first the grievances. Having had no misconducts, there is no security need for the transfer. Nor was there an overcrowding need in the unit from which i was transfered.

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoning facts and statements are true, exact, and correctto the best of my knowledge information and belief.

MR. LONNIE SPELLMAN

DB 20910